



Loctite 572 #127-1375 (AUS)

RS Components

Chemwatch Hazard Alert Code: 3

Chemwatch: 5414-95

Version No: 5.1

Safety Data Sheet according to WHS Regulations (Hazardous Chemicals) Amendment 2020 and ADG requirements

Issue Date: 30/12/2020

Print Date: 07/09/2022

L.GHS.AUS.EN.E

SECTION 1 Identification of the substance / mixture and of the company / undertaking

Product Identifier

Product name	Loctite 572 #127-1375 (AUS)
Chemical Name	Not Applicable
Synonyms	Product Code: 127-1375
Chemical formula	Not Applicable
Other means of identification	Not Available

Relevant identified uses of the substance or mixture and uses advised against

Relevant identified uses	Anaerobic adhesive.
--------------------------	---------------------

Details of the manufacturer or supplier of the safety data sheet

Registered company name	RS Components
Address	25 Pavesi Street Smithfield NSW 2164 Australia
Telephone	+1 300 656 636
Fax	+1 300 656 696
Website	www.au.rs-online.com
Email	Not Available

Emergency telephone number

Association / Organisation	CHEMWATCH EMERGENCY RESPONSE
Emergency telephone numbers	+61 1800 951 288
Other emergency telephone numbers	+61 3 9573 3188

Once connected and if the message is not in your preferred language then please dial 01

SECTION 2 Hazards identification

Classification of the substance or mixture

HAZARDOUS CHEMICAL. NON-DANGEROUS GOODS. According to the WHS Regulations and the ADG Code.

ChemWatch Hazard Ratings

	Min	Max	
Flammability	1	1	
Toxicity	1	1	
Body Contact	2	2	
Reactivity	1	1	
Chronic	3	3	

0 = Minimum
1 = Low
2 = Moderate
3 = High
4 = Extreme

Poisons Schedule	Not Applicable
Classification [1]	Serious Eye Damage/Eye Irritation Category 2A, Specific Target Organ Toxicity - Single Exposure (Respiratory Tract Irritation) Category 3, Carcinogenicity Category 1B, Hazardous to the Aquatic Environment Acute Hazard Category 2, Skin Corrosion/Irritation Category 2
Legend:	1. Classified by Chemwatch; 2. Classification drawn from HCIS; 3. Classification drawn from Regulation (EU) No 1272/2008 - Annex VI

Label elements

Loctite 572 #127-1375 (AUS)

Hazard pictogram(s)	
---------------------	---

Signal word	Danger
-------------	---------------

Hazard statement(s)

H319	Causes serious eye irritation.
H335	May cause respiratory irritation.
H350	May cause cancer.
H401	Toxic to aquatic life.
H315	Causes skin irritation.

Precautionary statement(s) Prevention

P201	Obtain special instructions before use.
P271	Use only outdoors or in a well-ventilated area.
P280	Wear protective gloves, protective clothing, eye protection and face protection.
P261	Avoid breathing mist/vapours/spray.
P273	Avoid release to the environment.
P264	Wash all exposed external body areas thoroughly after handling.

Precautionary statement(s) Response

P308+P313	IF exposed or concerned: Get medical advice/ attention.
P305+P351+P338	IF IN EYES: Rinse cautiously with water for several minutes. Remove contact lenses, if present and easy to do. Continue rinsing.
P312	Call a POISON CENTER/doctor/physician/first aider/if you feel unwell.
P337+P313	If eye irritation persists: Get medical advice/attention.
P302+P352	IF ON SKIN: Wash with plenty of water and soap.
P304+P340	IF INHALED: Remove person to fresh air and keep comfortable for breathing.
P332+P313	If skin irritation occurs: Get medical advice/attention.
P362+P364	Take off contaminated clothing and wash it before reuse.

Precautionary statement(s) Storage

P405	Store locked up.
P403+P233	Store in a well-ventilated place. Keep container tightly closed.

Precautionary statement(s) Disposal

P501	Dispose of contents/container to authorised hazardous or special waste collection point in accordance with any local regulation.
------	--

SECTION 3 Composition / information on ingredients

Substances

See section below for composition of Mixtures

Mixtures

CAS No	%[weight]	Name
111-87-5	10-20	<u>1-octanol</u>
80-15-9	0.1-<1	<u>cumyl hydroperoxide</u>
78-70-6	0.1-<1	<u>linalool</u>
13463-67-7	NotSpec	<u>titanium dioxide</u>
112945-52-5	NotSpec	<u>silica amorphous</u>
Legend:	1. Classified by Chemwatch; 2. Classification drawn from HCIS; 3. Classification drawn from Regulation (EU) No 1272/2008 - Annex VI; 4. Classification drawn from C&L; * EU IOELVs available	

SECTION 4 First aid measures

Description of first aid measures

Eye Contact	<p>If this product comes in contact with the eyes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Wash out immediately with fresh running water. ▶ Ensure complete irrigation of the eye by keeping eyelids apart and away from eye and moving the eyelids by occasionally lifting the upper and lower lids. ▶ Seek medical attention without delay; if pain persists or recurs seek medical attention. ▶ Removal of contact lenses after an eye injury should only be undertaken by skilled personnel.
--------------------	---

Skin Contact	<p>If skin contact occurs:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Immediately remove all contaminated clothing, including footwear. ▶ Flush skin and hair with running water (and soap if available). ▶ Seek medical attention in event of irritation.
Inhalation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ If fumes or combustion products are inhaled remove from contaminated area. ▶ Lay patient down. Keep warm and rested. ▶ Prostheses such as false teeth, which may block airway, should be removed, where possible, prior to initiating first aid procedures. ▶ Apply artificial respiration if not breathing, preferably with a demand valve resuscitator, bag-valve mask device, or pocket mask as trained. Perform CPR if necessary. ▶ Transport to hospital, or doctor, without delay.
Ingestion	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ If swallowed do NOT induce vomiting. ▶ If vomiting occurs, lean patient forward or place on left side (head-down position, if possible) to maintain open airway and prevent aspiration. ▶ Observe the patient carefully. ▶ Never give liquid to a person showing signs of being sleepy or with reduced awareness; i.e. becoming unconscious. ▶ Give water to rinse out mouth, then provide liquid slowly and as much as casualty can comfortably drink. ▶ Seek medical advice.

Indication of any immediate medical attention and special treatment needed

Treat symptomatically.

SECTION 5 Firefighting measures

Extinguishing media

- ▶ Alcohol stable foam.
- ▶ Dry chemical powder.
- ▶ BCF (where regulations permit).
- ▶ Carbon dioxide.
- ▶ Water spray or fog - Large fires only.

Special hazards arising from the substrate or mixture

Fire Incompatibility	▶ Avoid contamination with oxidising agents i.e. nitrates, oxidising acids, chlorine bleaches, pool chlorine etc. as ignition may result
-----------------------------	--

Advice for firefighters

Fire Fighting	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Alert Fire Brigade and tell them location and nature of hazard. ▶ Wear breathing apparatus plus protective gloves. ▶ Prevent, by any means available, spillage from entering drains or water courses. ▶ Use water delivered as a fine spray to control fire and cool adjacent area. ▶ DO NOT approach containers suspected to be hot. ▶ Cool fire exposed containers with water spray from a protected location. ▶ If safe to do so, remove containers from path of fire. ▶ Equipment should be thoroughly decontaminated after use.
Fire/Explosion Hazard	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Combustible. ▶ Slight fire hazard when exposed to heat or flame. ▶ Heating may cause expansion or decomposition leading to violent rupture of containers. ▶ On combustion, may emit toxic fumes of carbon monoxide (CO). ▶ May emit acrid smoke. ▶ Mists containing combustible materials may be explosive. <p>Combustion products include: carbon dioxide (CO₂) silicon dioxide (SiO₂) metal oxides other pyrolysis products typical of burning organic material.</p>
HAZCHEM	Not Applicable

SECTION 6 Accidental release measures

Personal precautions, protective equipment and emergency procedures

See section 8

Environmental precautions

See section 12

Methods and material for containment and cleaning up

Minor Spills	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Clean up all spills immediately. ▶ Avoid contact with skin and eyes. ▶ Wear impervious gloves and safety goggles. ▶ Trowel up/scrape up. ▶ Place spilled material in clean, dry, sealed container. ▶ Flush spill area with water.
Major Spills	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Clear area of personnel and move upwind. ▶ Alert Fire Brigade and tell them location and nature of hazard. ▶ Wear full body protective clothing with breathing apparatus. ▶ Prevent, by all means available, spillage from entering drains or water courses. ▶ Consider evacuation (or protect in place). ▶ No smoking, naked lights or ignition sources. ▶ Increase ventilation. ▶ Stop leak if safe to do so. ▶ Water spray or fog may be used to disperse / absorb vapour.

- ▶ Contain or absorb spill with sand, earth or vermiculite.
- ▶ Collect recoverable product into labelled containers for recycling.
- ▶ Collect solid residues and seal in labelled drums for disposal.
- ▶ Wash area and prevent runoff into drains.
- ▶ After clean up operations, decontaminate and launder all protective clothing and equipment before storing and re-using.
- ▶ If contamination of drains or waterways occurs, advise emergency services.

Personal Protective Equipment advice is contained in Section 8 of the SDS.

SECTION 7 Handling and storage

Precautions for safe handling

Safe handling	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Avoid all personal contact, including inhalation. ▶ Wear protective clothing when risk of exposure occurs. ▶ Use in a well-ventilated area. ▶ Prevent concentration in hollows and sumps. ▶ DO NOT enter confined spaces until atmosphere has been checked. ▶ DO NOT allow material to contact humans, exposed food or food utensils. ▶ Avoid contact with incompatible materials. ▶ When handling, DO NOT eat, drink or smoke. ▶ Keep containers securely sealed when not in use. ▶ Avoid physical damage to containers. ▶ Always wash hands with soap and water after handling. ▶ Work clothes should be laundered separately. Launder contaminated clothing before re-use. ▶ Use good occupational work practice. ▶ Observe manufacturer's storage and handling recommendations contained within this SDS. ▶ Atmosphere should be regularly checked against established exposure standards to ensure safe working conditions are maintained.
Other information	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Store in original containers. ▶ Keep containers securely sealed. ▶ Store in a cool, dry, well-ventilated area. ▶ Store away from incompatible materials and foodstuff containers. ▶ Protect containers against physical damage and check regularly for leaks. ▶ Observe manufacturer's storage and handling recommendations contained within this SDS.

Conditions for safe storage, including any incompatibilities

Suitable container	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Metal can or drum ▶ Packaging as recommended by manufacturer. ▶ Check all containers are clearly labelled and free from leaks.
Storage incompatibility	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Avoid strong acids, bases. ▶ Avoid reaction with oxidising agents

SECTION 8 Exposure controls / personal protection

Control parameters

Occupational Exposure Limits (OEL)

INGREDIENT DATA

Source	Ingredient	Material name	TWA	STEL	Peak	Notes
Australia Exposure Standards	titanium dioxide	Titanium dioxide	10 mg/m3	Not Available	Not Available	(a) This value is for inhalable dust containing no asbestos and < 1% crystalline silica.
Australia Exposure Standards	silica amorphous	Silica - Amorphous: Silica gel	10 mg/m3	Not Available	Not Available	(a) This value is for inhalable dust containing no asbestos and < 1% crystalline silica.
Australia Exposure Standards	silica amorphous	Silica, fused	0.05 mg/m3	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available
Australia Exposure Standards	silica amorphous	Silica - Amorphous: Fume (thermally generated)(respirable dust)	2 mg/m3	Not Available	Not Available	(e) Containing no asbestos and < 1% crystalline silica.
Australia Exposure Standards	silica amorphous	Silica - Amorphous: Fumed silica (respirable dust)	2 mg/m3	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available
Australia Exposure Standards	silica amorphous	Silica - Amorphous: Precipitated silica	10 mg/m3	Not Available	Not Available	(a) This value is for inhalable dust containing no asbestos and < 1% crystalline silica.
Australia Exposure Standards	silica amorphous	Silica - Amorphous: Diatomaceous earth (uncalcined)	10 mg/m3	Not Available	Not Available	(a) This value is for inhalable dust containing no asbestos and < 1% crystalline silica.

Emergency Limits

Ingredient	TEEL-1	TEEL-2	TEEL-3
1-octanol	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available
cumyl hydroperoxide	0.15 ppm	1.6 ppm	9.7 ppm
titanium dioxide	30 mg/m3	330 mg/m3	2,000 mg/m3
silica amorphous	18 mg/m3	200 mg/m3	1,200 mg/m3
silica amorphous	18 mg/m3	100 mg/m3	630 mg/m3

Ingredient	TEEL-1	TEEL-2	TEEL-3
silica amorphous	120 mg/m3	1,300 mg/m3	7,900 mg/m3
silica amorphous	45 mg/m3	500 mg/m3	3,000 mg/m3
silica amorphous	18 mg/m3	740 mg/m3	4,500 mg/m3

Ingredient	Original IDLH	Revised IDLH
1-octanol	Not Available	Not Available
cumyl hydroperoxide	Not Available	Not Available
linalool	Not Available	Not Available
titanium dioxide	5,000 mg/m3	Not Available
silica amorphous	3,000 mg/m3	Not Available

Occupational Exposure Banding

Ingredient	Occupational Exposure Band Rating	Occupational Exposure Band Limit
1-octanol	E	≤ 0.1 ppm
cumyl hydroperoxide	E	≤ 0.1 ppm
linalool	E	≤ 0.1 ppm

Notes: Occupational exposure banding is a process of assigning chemicals into specific categories or bands based on a chemical's potency and the adverse health outcomes associated with exposure. The output of this process is an occupational exposure band (OEB), which corresponds to a range of exposure concentrations that are expected to protect worker health.

MATERIAL DATA

Exposure controls

Appropriate engineering controls	<p>Engineering controls are used to remove a hazard or place a barrier between the worker and the hazard. Well-designed engineering controls can be highly effective in protecting workers and will typically be independent of worker interactions to provide this high level of protection. The basic types of engineering controls are:</p> <p>Process controls which involve changing the way a job activity or process is done to reduce the risk.</p> <p>Enclosure and/or isolation of emission source which keeps a selected hazard "physically" away from the worker and ventilation that strategically "adds" and "removes" air in the work environment. Ventilation can remove or dilute an air contaminant if designed properly. The design of a ventilation system must match the particular process and chemical or contaminant in use.</p> <p>Employers may need to use multiple types of controls to prevent employee overexposure.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Employees exposed to confirmed human carcinogens should be authorized to do so by the employer, and work in a regulated area. ▶ Work should be undertaken in an isolated system such as a "glove-box". Employees should wash their hands and arms upon completion of the assigned task and before engaging in other activities not associated with the isolated system. ▶ Within regulated areas, the carcinogen should be stored in sealed containers, or enclosed in a closed system, including piping systems, with any sample ports or openings closed while the carcinogens are contained within. ▶ Open-vessel systems are prohibited. ▶ Each operation should be provided with continuous local exhaust ventilation so that air movement is always from ordinary work areas to the operation. ▶ Exhaust air should not be discharged to regulated areas, non-regulated areas or the external environment unless decontaminated. Clean make-up air should be introduced in sufficient volume to maintain correct operation of the local exhaust system. ▶ For maintenance and decontamination activities, authorized employees entering the area should be provided with and required to wear clean, impervious garments, including gloves, boots and continuous-air supplied hood. Prior to removing protective garments the employee should undergo decontamination and be required to shower upon removal of the garments and hood. ▶ Except for outdoor systems, regulated areas should be maintained under negative pressure (with respect to non-regulated areas). ▶ Local exhaust ventilation requires make-up air be supplied in equal volumes to replaced air. ▶ Laboratory hoods must be designed and maintained so as to draw air inward at an average linear face velocity of 0.76 m/sec with a minimum of 0.64 m/sec. Design and construction of the fume hood requires that insertion of any portion of the employees body, other than hands and arms, be disallowed.
Personal protection	
Eye and face protection	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Safety glasses with side shields. ▶ Chemical goggles. ▶ Contact lenses may pose a special hazard; soft contact lenses may absorb and concentrate irritants. A written policy document, describing the wearing of lenses or restrictions on use, should be created for each workplace or task. This should include a review of lens absorption and adsorption for the class of chemicals in use and an account of injury experience. Medical and first-aid personnel should be trained in their removal and suitable equipment should be readily available. In the event of chemical exposure, begin eye irrigation immediately and remove contact lens as soon as practicable. Lens should be removed at the first signs of eye redness or irritation - lens should be removed in a clean environment only after workers have washed hands thoroughly. [CDC NIOSH Current Intelligence Bulletin 59], [AS/NZS 1336 or national equivalent]
Skin protection	See Hand protection below
Hands/feet protection	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Wear chemical protective gloves, e.g. PVC. ▶ Wear safety footwear or safety gumboots, e.g. Rubber <p>NOTE:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ The material may produce skin sensitisation in predisposed individuals. Care must be taken, when removing gloves and other protective equipment, to avoid all possible skin contact. ▶ Contaminated leather items, such as shoes, belts and watch-bands should be removed and destroyed.
Body protection	See Other protection below
Other protection	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Overalls. ▶ P.V.C apron. ▶ Barrier cream. ▶ Skin cleansing cream.

▶ Eye wash unit.

Recommended material(s)

GLOVE SELECTION INDEX

Glove selection is based on a modified presentation of the:

"Forsberg Clothing Performance Index".

The effect(s) of the following substance(s) are taken into account in the **computer-generated** selection:

Loctite 572 #127-1375 (AUS)

Material	CPI
NATURAL RUBBER	C
NATURAL+NEOPRENE	C
NEOPRENE	C
NEOPRENE/NATURAL	C
NITRILE	C
NITRILE+PVC	C
PVA	C
PVC	C
TEFLON	C

* CPI - Chemwatch Performance Index

A: Best Selection

B: Satisfactory; may degrade after 4 hours continuous immersion

C: Poor to Dangerous Choice for other than short term immersion

NOTE: As a series of factors will influence the actual performance of the glove, a final selection must be based on detailed observation. -

* Where the glove is to be used on a short term, casual or infrequent basis, factors such as "feel" or convenience (e.g. disposability), may dictate a choice of gloves which might otherwise be unsuitable following long-term or frequent use. A qualified practitioner should be consulted.

Respiratory protection

Type A-P Filter of sufficient capacity. (AS/NZS 1716 & 1715, EN 143:2000 & 149:2001, ANSI Z88 or national equivalent)

Where the concentration of gas/particulates in the breathing zone, approaches or exceeds the "Exposure Standard" (or ES), respiratory protection is required.

Degree of protection varies with both face-piece and Class of filter; the nature of protection varies with Type of filter.

Required Minimum Protection Factor	Half-Face Respirator	Full-Face Respirator	Powered Air Respirator
up to 10 x ES	A-AUS P2	-	A-PAPR-AUS / Class 1 P2
up to 50 x ES	-	A-AUS / Class 1 P2	-
up to 100 x ES	-	A-2 P2	A-PAPR-2 P2 ^

^ - Full-face

A(All classes) = Organic vapours, B AUS or B1 = Acid gasses, B2 = Acid gas or hydrogen cyanide(HCN), B3 = Acid gas or hydrogen cyanide(HCN), E = Sulfur dioxide(SO₂), G = Agricultural chemicals, K = Ammonia(NH₃), Hg = Mercury, NO = Oxides of nitrogen, MB = Methyl bromide, AX = Low boiling point organic compounds(below 65 degC)

- ▶ Cartridge respirators should never be used for emergency ingress or in areas of unknown vapour concentrations or oxygen content.
- ▶ The wearer must be warned to leave the contaminated area immediately on detecting any odours through the respirator. The odour may indicate that the mask is not functioning properly, that the vapour concentration is too high, or that the mask is not properly fitted. Because of these limitations, only restricted use of cartridge respirators is considered appropriate.
- ▶ Cartridge performance is affected by humidity. Cartridges should be changed after 2 hr of continuous use unless it is determined that the humidity is less than 75%, in which case, cartridges can be used for 4 hr. Used cartridges should be discarded daily, regardless of the length of time used

SECTION 9 Physical and chemical properties

Information on basic physical and chemical properties

Appearance	White paste with slight odour; does not mix with water.		
Physical state	Non Slump Paste	Relative density (Water = 1)	Not Available
Odour	Not Available	Partition coefficient n-octanol / water	Not Available
Odour threshold	Not Available	Auto-ignition temperature (°C)	Not Available
pH (as supplied)	Not Applicable	Decomposition temperature (°C)	Not Available
Melting point / freezing point (°C)	Not Available	Viscosity (cSt)	Not Available
Initial boiling point and boiling range (°C)	Not Available	Molecular weight (g/mol)	Not Applicable
Flash point (°C)	>93	Taste	Not Available
Evaporation rate	Not Available	Explosive properties	Not Available
Flammability	Not Applicable	Oxidising properties	Not Available
Upper Explosive Limit (%)	Not Available	Surface Tension (dyn/cm or mN/m)	Not Available
Lower Explosive Limit (%)	Not Available	Volatile Component (%vol)	Not Available
Vapour pressure (kPa)	Not Available	Gas group	Not Available
Solubility in water	Immiscible	pH as a solution (Not Available%)	Not Applicable
Vapour density (Air = 1)	Not Available	VOC g/L	Not Available

SECTION 10 Stability and reactivity

Reactivity	See section 7
Chemical stability	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Unstable in the presence of incompatible materials. ▶ Product is considered stable. ▶ Hazardous polymerisation will not occur.
Possibility of hazardous reactions	See section 7
Conditions to avoid	See section 7
Incompatible materials	See section 7

Hazardous decomposition products

See section 5

SECTION 11 Toxicological information**Information on toxicological effects**

Inhaled	Evidence shows, or practical experience predicts, that the material produces irritation of the respiratory system, in a substantial number of individuals, following inhalation. In contrast to most organs, the lung is able to respond to a chemical insult by first removing or neutralising the irritant and then repairing the damage. The repair process, which initially evolved to protect mammalian lungs from foreign matter and antigens, may however, produce further lung damage resulting in the impairment of gas exchange, the primary function of the lungs. Respiratory tract irritation often results in an inflammatory response involving the recruitment and activation of many cell types, mainly derived from the vascular system. Inhalation of vapours may cause drowsiness and dizziness. This may be accompanied by narcosis, reduced alertness, loss of reflexes, lack of coordination and vertigo. Inhalation of vapours or aerosols (mists, fumes), generated by the material during the course of normal handling, may be damaging to the health of the individual.
Ingestion	Accidental ingestion of the material may be damaging to the health of the individual.
Skin Contact	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ produces mild inflammation of the skin in a substantial number of individuals following direct contact, and/or ▶ produces significant, but mild, inflammation when applied to the healthy intact skin of animals (for up to four hours), such inflammation being present twenty-four hours or more after the end of the exposure period. <p>Skin irritation may also be present after prolonged or repeated exposure; this may result in a form of contact dermatitis (nonallergic). The dermatitis is often characterised by skin redness (erythema) and swelling (oedema) which may progress to blistering (vesiculation), scaling and thickening of the epidermis. At the microscopic level there may be intercellular oedema of the spongy layer of the skin (spongiosis) and intracellular oedema of the epidermis. Open cuts, abraded or irritated skin should not be exposed to this material Entry into the blood-stream through, for example, cuts, abrasions, puncture wounds or lesions, may produce systemic injury with harmful effects. Examine the skin prior to the use of the material and ensure that any external damage is suitably protected.</p>
Eye	Evidence exists, or practical experience predicts, that the material may cause eye irritation in a substantial number of individuals and/or may produce significant ocular lesions which are present twenty-four hours or more after instillation into the eye(s) of experimental animals. Repeated or prolonged eye contact may cause inflammation characterised by temporary redness (similar to windburn) of the conjunctiva (conjunctivitis); temporary impairment of vision and/or other transient eye damage/ulceration may occur.
Chronic	Long-term exposure to respiratory irritants may result in disease of the airways involving difficult breathing and related systemic problems. On the basis, primarily, of animal experiments, the material may be regarded as carcinogenic to humans. There is sufficient evidence to provide a strong presumption that human exposure to the material may result in cancer on the basis of: - appropriate long-term animal studies - other relevant information

Loctite 572 #127-1375 (AUS)	TOXICITY	IRRITATION
	Not Available	Not Available
1-octanol	TOXICITY	IRRITATION
	Dermal (rabbit) LD50: >1660 mg/kg ^[1]	Skin (rabbit): 500 mg/24h - mild
	Inhalation(Rat) LC50; >0.237 mg/l4h ^[1]	
	Oral (Mouse) LD50; 1790 mg/kg ^[2]	
cumyl hydroperoxide	TOXICITY	IRRITATION
	dermal (rat) LD50: 500 mg/kg ^[2]	Eye (rabbit): 1 mg
	Inhalation(Rat) LC50; 220 ppm4h ^[2]	Skin (rabbit): 500 mg - mild
	Oral (Rat) LD50; 382 mg/kg ^[2]	
linalool	TOXICITY	IRRITATION
	dermal (rat) LD50: 5610 mg/kg ^[2]	Skin (guinea pig):100mg/24h-mild
	Oral (Rat) LD50; 2790 mg/kg ^[2]	Skin (man): 16 mg/48h-mild
		Skin (rabbit): 100 mg/24h-SEVERE
		Skin (rabbit): 500 mg/24h - mild
titanium dioxide	TOXICITY	IRRITATION
	dermal (hamster) LD50: >=10000 mg/kg ^[2]	Eye: no adverse effect observed (not irritating) ^[1]
	Inhalation(Rat) LC50; >2.28 mg/l4h ^[1]	Skin (human): 0.3 mg /3D (int)-mild *
	Oral (Rat) LD50; >=2000 mg/kg ^[1]	Skin: no adverse effect observed (not irritating) ^[1]
silica amorphous	TOXICITY	IRRITATION
	dermal (rat) LD50: >2000 mg/kg ^[1]	Eye (rabbit): non-irritating *
	Inhalation(Rat) LC50; >0.139 mg/L4h ^[1]	Eye: no adverse effect observed (not irritating) ^[1]
	Oral (Rat) LD50; >1000 mg/kg ^[1]	Skin (rabbit): non-irritating *
		Skin: no adverse effect observed (not irritating) ^[1]

Legend:

1. Value obtained from Europe ECHA Registered Substances - Acute toxicity 2. * Value obtained from manufacturer's SDS. Unless otherwise specified data extracted from RTECS - Register of Toxic Effect of chemical Substances

CUMYL HYDROPEROXIDE	Bacterial cell mutagen Equivocal tumorigen by RTECS criteria
LINALOOL	<p>The following information refers to contact allergens as a group and may not be specific to this product. Contact allergies quickly manifest themselves as contact eczema, more rarely as urticaria or Quincke's oedema. The pathogenesis of contact eczema involves a cell-mediated (T lymphocytes) immune reaction of the delayed type. Other allergic skin reactions, e.g. contact urticaria, involve antibody-mediated immune reactions. The significance of the contact allergen is not simply determined by its sensitisation potential: the distribution of the substance and the opportunities for contact with it are equally important. A weakly sensitising substance which is widely distributed can be a more important allergen than one with stronger sensitising potential with which few individuals come into contact. From a clinical point of view, substances are noteworthy if they produce an allergic test reaction in more than 1% of the persons tested.</p> <p>For monoterpenes:</p> <p>The chemical category designated terpenoid hydrocarbons includes three simple C10 isomeric monocyclic terpene hydrocarbons (<i>d</i>-limonene, <i>d</i>-limonene, and terpinolene) two simple C10 acyclic terpene hydrocarbons (<i>beta</i>-myrcene and dihydromyrcene) and mixtures composed primarily of <i>d</i>-limonene, <i>d</i>-limonene (dipentene), terpinolene, myrcene, and <i>alpha</i> and <i>beta</i>-pinene</p> <p>Monoterpene hydrocarbons are mainly released by coniferous woodland such as pine trees, cedars, redwood and firs. To a lesser extent, they are also produced and released by deciduous plants. They are common components of traditional foods occurring in essentially all fruits and vegetables.</p> <p>Members of this chemical category are of very low acute toxicity</p> <p>Studies of terpene hydrocarbons indicate that they are rapidly absorbed, distributed, metabolised and excreted. The principal metabolic pathway involves side chain oxidation to yield monocyclic terpene alcohols and carboxylic acids. These metabolites are mainly conjugated with glucuronic acid and excreted in the urine, or to a lesser extent in the feces. A secondary pathway involves epoxidation of either the exocyclic or endocyclic double bond yielding an epoxide that is subsequently detoxicated <i>via</i> formation of the corresponding diol or conjugation with glutathione.</p> <p>Although some species- and sex-specific differences exist, studies for <i>d</i>-limonene and <i>beta</i>-myrcene indicate that the monoterpene hydrocarbons in this chemical category will participate in common pathways of absorption, distribution, metabolism and excretion.</p> <p>Genotoxicity: Based on the results of this <i>in vivo</i> genotoxicity assay and the numerous <i>in vitro</i> genotoxicity assays, it is unlikely that any of these materials would exhibit a significant genotoxic potential <i>in vivo</i>.</p> <p>Carcinogenicity: Under the conditions of 2-year gavage studies, conducted by NTP, there was clear evidence of carcinogenic activity of <i>d</i>-limonene for male F344/N rats as shown by increased incidences in tubular cell hyperplasia, adenomas, and adenocarcinomas of the kidney. There was no evidence of carcinogenic activity of <i>d</i>-limonene for female rats receiving 300 or 600 mg/kg bw/d. It has been demonstrated that renal lesions, which were observed in the NTP study, resulted from the accumulation of aggregates of <i>alpha</i>-2 microglobulin (a low molecular-weight protein synthesised in the liver) and limonene-1,2-epoxide in the P2 segment of the renal proximal tubule. While humans produce low molecular weight serum proteins, which are reabsorbed by the kidney, there is no evidence that a similar <i>alpha</i>-2 microglobulin is produced. The kidney changes seen in male rats administered limonene have been well characterized, and are known to be specific to the male rat and of no significance in human risk assessment.</p> <p>Reproductive toxicity: Substances within this chemical category exhibit low reproductive toxicity potential. This is based on the results of three reproductive toxicity assays. using sweet orange peel oil predominantly composed of <i>d</i>-limonene and <i>beta</i>-myrcene.</p> <p>Developmental toxicity: Given the results of six developmental toxicity assays using limonene, sweet orange oil and <i>beta</i>-myrcene, it may be concluded that the substances within this chemical category exhibit low developmental toxicity potential</p> <p>For linalool:</p> <p>Linalool gradually breaks down when in contact with oxygen, forming an oxidized by-product that may cause allergic reactions such as eczema in susceptible individuals. Between 5 and 7% of patients undergoing patch testing in Sweden were found to be allergic to the oxidized form of linalool. [</p> <p>Linalool has an acute oral mammalian LD50 close to 3,000 mg/kg bw; the acute dermal toxicity is ~ 2,000 mg/kg bw. After inhalation exposure of mice and man, slight sedative effects were observed; however a dose response characteristic could not be determined. Linalool is irritating to the skin, based on animal studies, and is a mild irritant from human experience. It may be moderately irritant to the eyes at the same concentration where it produces nasal pungency. Linalool is considered not to be a sensitiser. The incidence of dermal reaction to linalool is below 1% in naive probands (not knowingly pre-sensitised) while in subjects pre-sensitised to fragrances it is up to 10%.</p> <p>In a 28-day oral rat study (72.9% linalool) findings were increased liver and kidney weight, thickened liver lobes and pale areas on the kidneys and in females only hepatocellular cytoplasmic vacuolisation. Other findings were related to local irritation of the gastro-intestinal tract. Based on the effects on liver and kidney a NOAEL of 160 mg/kg bw/d (equivalent to 117 mg/kg bw/d linalool) was derived. In this study no effects on male and female gonads were found.</p> <p>Linalool was not mutagenic in seven out of eight bacterial tests nor in two (one <i>in vitro</i> and one <i>in vivo</i>) mammalian tests; the one positive bacterial result is estimated to be a chance event.</p> <p>Linalool (72.9%) was tested in a reproduction screening test (non-OECD). The NOAEL for maternal toxicity based on clinical signs and effects on body weight and food consumption was 500 mg/kg bw/d (equivalent to 365 mg/kg bw/d linalool). The NOAEL on reproduction toxicity and developmental toxicity is 500 mg/kg bw/d (equivalent to 365 mg/kg bw linalool), based on the decreased litter size at birth and pup morbidity/mortality thereafter.</p> <p>Linalool seems not to be an immunotoxicant according to one animal study.</p> <p>Opinion holds that there are no safety concerns for linalool and the linalyl esters, as fragrance ingredients, under the present declared levels of use and exposure for the following reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Linalool and the linalyl esters have a low order of acute toxicity. · No significant toxicity was observed in subchronic tests; it is concluded that these materials have dermal and oral NOAELS of 50 mg/kg/day or greater. · Based on a critical review of all available mutagenicity and genotoxicity studies, it has been determined that these materials are negative in short-term tests and therefore would have no significant potential to produce genotoxic effects. · The metabolic fate of linalool and the linalyl esters is either known or assumed from analogies with structurally related substances that indicate no production of toxic or persistent metabolites and the structural analogies indicate no concern. · Human dermatological studies show that these materials are not irritating, phototoxic or sensitizing. · These materials are used at low levels of exposure relative to doses that elicit adverse effects. The estimate for maximum systemic exposure by humans using cosmetic products is 0.3 mg/kg/ day for linalool and linalyl acetate and 0.1 mg/ kg/day or lower for the other linalyl esters. Using the NOAELs (50 mg/kg/day or greater) and the maximum exposure estimates and assuming 100% absorption, a margin of safety for the exposure of humans to linalool and the linalyl esters may conservatively be calculated as 167 times the maximum daily exposure for linalool and linalyl acetate (50 mg/kg/day / 0.3 mg/kg/day for linalool or linalyl acetate=167) and 500 times the maximum daily exposure for the other individual linalyl esters (50 mg/kg/day / 0.1 mg/kg/day for the other individual linalyl esters=500). <p>In general, linalool esters are hydrolyzed to their corresponding alcohol (linalool) and carboxylic acid. Hydrolysis is catalyzed by carboxylesterases or esterases. Tertiary alcohols such as linalool are metabolized primarily through conjugation with glucuronic acid and are excreted in the urine and to a lesser extent faeces. Alkyl or alkenyl substituents may undergo oxidation to form polar metabolites that may also be excreted free or in the conjugated form. Oxidation is mediated by cytochrome P-450 dependant mono-oxygenases. The carboxylic acids formed by hydrolysis of the linalyl esters included in this summary are all known to be easily and rapidly metabolized. The linear saturated carboxylic acids are metabolized normally as fatty acids that undergo beta-oxidation. The branched-chain carboxylic acids from linalyl isovalerate and isobutyrate are similarly oxidized, but the end product is acetone. The carboxylic acids from linalyl benzoate and phenylacetate are conjugated and excreted. The cinnamic acid from linalyl cinnamate is conjugated and excreted, or metabolized to benzoic acid.</p> <p>No sensitization was observed with linalool in guinea pig sensitization studies at concentrations up to 20%. With linalyl acetate at a concentration of 10%, weak to moderate sensitization effects were observed in guinea pig sensitization studies. Linalyl acetate was non-sensitizing when tested at 5% in these same guinea pig sensitization studies. No sensitization reactions were observed with linalyl isobutyrate and linalyl propionate (data were not available for the other linalyl esters)</p>

when tested at 8% in open epicutaneous tests in guinea pigs

The Research Institute for Fragrance Materials (RIFM) Expert Panel

A member or analogue of a group of aliphatic and alicyclic terpenoid tertiary alcohols and structurally related substances generally regarded as safe (GRAS based, in part, on their self-limiting properties as flavouring substances in food; their rapid absorption, metabolic conversion, and excretion in humans and experimental animals; their low level of flavour use; the wide margins of safety between the conservative estimates of intake and the no-observed-adverse effect levels (NOAEL) determined from subchronic and chronic studies and the lack of genotoxic and mutagenic potential. This evidence of safety is supported by the fact that the intake of aliphatic acyclic and alicyclic terpenoid tertiary alcohols and structurally related substances as natural components of traditional foods is greater than their intake as intentionally added flavoring substances.

Oral median lethal dose (LD50) values have been reported for 24 of the 43 substances in this group. LD50 values range from 1300 to greater than 36300 mg/kg bw, demonstrating that the oral acute toxicity of tertiary alcohols and related esters is extremely low.

Genotoxicity: the testing of representative materials in vitro in bacterial test systems (Ames assay) and in vivo in mammalian test systems (micronucleus assay) showed no evidence of mutagenic or genotoxic potential.

Based on the results of studies under a wide variety of conditions, including aqueous buffered media, simulated gastric juice, simulated human intestinal fluid, blood plasma, whole hepatocytes and liver microsomes preparations, terpene esters formed from tertiary alcohols (for example, linalool), and simple aliphatic carboxylic acids are expected to undergo hydrolysis. Bicyclic tertiary alcohols are relatively stable in vivo, but are eventually conjugated with glucuronic acid and excreted. Although differences in the rates of hydrolysis occur under in vitro conditions in gastric juice and intestinal fluids, ready hydrolysis is observed in tissue preparations that have an abundant concentration of carboxylesterases (CES), especially the liver. The most important class of these enzymes is the B-esterases, which are members of the serine esterase superfamily.

Generally, CES enzymes are ubiquitous throughout mammalian tissues and are found at the highest levels in hepatocytes

In general, the esters are hydrolysed to their corresponding alcohol and carboxylic acid. It is expected that the tertiary aromatic alcohols will undergo direct conjugation of the hydroxyl group with glucuronic acid while the tertiary terpenoid alcohols formed as a result of hydrolysis are rapidly absorbed and converted to the glucuronic acid conjugates which are excreted in the urine, or are further oxidised to CO₂ that is subsequently expired

Aliphatic acyclic and alicyclic terpenoid tertiary alcohols and structurally related substances often have a sweet floral rose to a fruity citrus green organoleptic profile. Twenty-two of the 44 flavor ingredients in this group have been reported to occur naturally, and can be found in chamomile, cocoa, coffee, a variety of fruits and especially citrus fruit varieties and vegetables, lemon juice, black and green teas, calamus, soybean, pepper, strawberry guava, beer and wine

Flavor and Extract Manufacturers' Association (FEMA)

With few exceptions * (see below) there are no safety concerns regarding certain cyclic and non-cyclic terpene alcohols **, as fragrance ingredients, under the present declared levels of use and exposure for the following reasons

- The non-cyclic and cyclic terpene alcohols have a low order of acute toxicity
- No significant toxicity was observed in repeated dose toxicity tests; it is concluded that these materials have dermal and oral NOAELs of 50 mg/kg body weight/day or greater.
- These materials were inactive in mutagenicity and genotoxicity tests.
- Based on data on metabolism it is concluded that members of this category exhibit similar chemical and biochemical fate.
- Although there is some indication for the production of reactive metabolites by some materials, these metabolites appear to be efficiently detoxicated and not expected to result in overt toxicity. There is no indication for the production of persistent metabolites.
- The results from materials studied to date are indicative of the group and there are no grounds for environmental concern with respect to cyclic and non-cyclic terpene alcohol compounds as currently used in fragrance compounds.
- Human dermatological studies show that, at current use levels, these materials are practically non-irritating.
- The sensitization potential is generally low.
- The margin of safety is generally greater than 100 times the maximum daily exposure.

Sufficient data are available from farnesol, linalool, menthol and α -terpineol, i.e., compounds that contain all key structural elements and potential sites of metabolism of all other members in the group, to demonstrate that the non-cyclic and cyclic terpenes share common metabolic pathways. In most cases, metabolism yields innocuous metabolites. Some materials, however, may generate α , β -unsaturated compounds or be oxidized to hydroperoxides. Such compounds have the capacity to participate in a range of nucleophilic and electrophilic addition reactions with biological material.

* Safety concerns exist for the following substances for the following reasons.

- 6,7-Dihydrogeraniol, hydroabietyl alcohol and 6-isopropyl-2-decahydro-naphthalenol are potent skin sensitizers. These materials are prohibited for use in fragrance materials by IFRA Standards.
- Farnesol is a weak sensitizer. Its use in fragrance materials is therefore restricted by IFRA Standards.
- Sclareol and linalool may contain impurities and/or oxidation products that are strong sensitizers. For use in fragrance materials, these compounds must comply with the purity criteria stated in their IFRA Standards.
- No sensitization test results were available for 2(10)-pinen-3-ol, 2,6-dimethyloct-3,5-dien-2-ol, and 3,7-dimethyl-4,6-octadien-3-ol. These materials should be regarded as potential sensitizers until tested.

** The common characteristic structural element of acyclic -noncyclic- and cyclic terpene alcohols is the typically branched isoprene unit 2-methyl-1,3-butadiene

The Research Institute for Fragrance Materials (RIFM) Expert Panel

For terpenoid tertiary alcohols and their related esters:

Substances assigned to this category, as part of the HPV Challenge Program, possess close structural relationships, similar physicochemical properties and participate in the same pathways of metabolic detoxification and have similar toxicological potential.

Acute Toxicity: Oral and dermal LD50 values for members of this chemical category indicate a low order of both oral and dermal toxicity. All rabbit dermal, and mouse and rat oral LD50 values exceed 2000 mg/kg with the majority of values greater than 5000 mg/kg

Repeat dose toxicity: In a safety evaluation study, a 50/50 mixture of linalool and citronellol was fed to male and female rats (number and strain not specified) in the diet. The daily intake was calculated to be 50 mg/kg bw of each. Measurements of haematology, clinical chemistry, and urinalysis at weeks 6 and 12 showed no statistically significant differences between test and control groups. Histopathology revealed no dose-related lesions. A slight retardation of growth was observed in males only, but was concluded by the authors to be biologically insignificant

Reproductive toxicity: Four groups of 10 virgin CrI CD rats were administered 0, 250, 500, or 1000 mg/kg bw of an essential oil (coriander oil) known to contain 73% linalool by mass. The test material was given by gavage once daily, 7 days prior to cohabitation, through cohabitation (maximum of 7 days), gestation, delivery, and a 4-day post-parturition period. The duration of the study was 39 days. Maternal effects reported included increased body weight and increased food consumption at 250 mg/kg/d, a non-statistically significant decrease in body weight and food consumption and decreased gestation index and decreased length of gestation at 500 mg/kg/d, and a statistically significant decrease in body weight and food consumption, statistically significant decrease in gestation index, length of gestation, and litter size at 1000 mg/kg/d. The only effect on pups was a decrease in viability of pups at the highest dose level. The authors concluded that there were no effects observed in the dams at the low dose of 250 mg/kg bw/d or in the offspring at the 250 and 500 mg/kg bw/d levels. The authors concluded that the maternal NOAEL was 250 mg/kg/d and the developmental NOAEL was 500 mg/kg/d.

Four groups of 10 virgin CrI CD rats were administered 0, 375, 750, or 1500 mg/kg bw of an essential oil (cardamom oil) known to contain greater than 65 % tertiary terpenoid alcohols with 5 % α -terpineol acetate by mass. Maternal observations included a non-statistically significant decrease in body weight gain and food consumption at 375 mg/kg/d.

Mortality, clinical signs, a statistically significant decrease in body weight gain and food consumption, and gross lesions at necropsy were seen at 750 and 1500 mg/kg/d. The only effects on pups were a reduced body weight gain in pups at 750 and 1500 mg/kg/d and increased mortality at 1500 mg/kg/d. The authors concluded that there were no significant adverse effects in the dams or offspring at the 375 mg/kg/d dose. A maternal NOEL was reported to be less than 375 mg/kg/d based on reduced body weight gain and food consumption at 375 mg/kg/d and a developmental NOAEL was reported to be 375 mg/kg/d

Developmental toxicity: A range finding study and follow-up teratology study was performed with pine oil. Pregnant CrI:CD(SD) BR rats were given 0, 50, 100, 500, 750, or 1000 mg/kg/d by gavage in corn oil on days 6 to 20 of gestation. Laparotomies were performed, corpora lutea were counted, and the uterus of each rat was removed, weighed and then examined for number, placement and viability of implantations. Live foetuses

were weighed, sexed and gross external alterations were identified. There were no deaths or abortions during the course of this study. Necropsy revealed no gross lesions. Maternal effects included local alopecia, decreased body weight gain and food consumption for the 3 highest dose levels. At 750 and 1000 mg/kg, average gravid uterine weight was reduced. In foetuses, decreased body weight was observed at dose levels of 100 mg/kg and above, and at dose levels of 500 and above there was a slight increase in average number of resorptions/litter.

In the follow-up teratology study, pregnant CrI:CD(SD) BR rats were given 0, 50, 600, or 1200 mg/kg/d by gavage in corn oil on days 6 to 20 of gestation. Six of the 25 rats in 1200 mg/kg dose group died and necropsies revealed that adrenal weights were significantly increased in these rats. At 1200 mg/kg/d, foetuses exhibited increased incidences of delayed ossification, delayed brain development, decreased weights, increased embryo-foetal mortality, and sunken eye bulge with associated soft and hard tissue findings, a dose that also resulted in maternal death and a low incidence of embryo-foetal death (resorption). The maternal and developmental NOEL for pine oil was greater than 50 mg/kg/d but less than 600 mg/kg/d

Genotoxicity: Mutagenicity/genotoxicity testing has been performed on six members of this chemical category, including a complete battery of in vitro genotoxicity tests using linalool. In nineteen separate in vitro tests on the mutagenicity and genotoxicity of terpenoid tertiary alcohols and related esters, all but two were negative. One of the positive results for linalool was observed in a rec assay using differences in growth rates in two strains of *Bacillus subtilis* as a measure of DNA changes. In contrast, no evidence of mutagenicity was observed in the same test at a higher concentrations nor was DNA damage observed in a rat hepatocyte UDS assay. The authors of the mouse lymphoma assay which gave a weak positive result for linalool, emphasized that positive results in this assay are commonly observed for polar substances in the absence of S-9 and may be associated with changes in physiologic culture conditions (pH and osmolality).

Based on a weight of evidence evaluation of the available in vitro and in vivo mutagenicity and genotoxicity assays on terpenoid tertiary alcohols and related esters, this group of flavouring substances would not be expected to exhibit a low genotoxic potential in vivo

Metabolic fate: Based on the results of hydrolysis, the reactivity of linalool in aqueous media, and data on metabolism it is concluded that members of this chemical category exhibit similar chemical and biochemical fate. The esters are readily hydrolyzed to the corresponding alcohols, linalool and alpha-terpineol. Linalool is then partially converted to alpha-terpineol mainly under acidic conditions. Alicyclic and aliphatic tertiary alcohols are efficiently detoxicated by two principal pathways: conjugation primarily with glucuronic acid and excretion primarily in urine, and omega-oxidation to eventually yield diacids and their reduced or hydrated analogs. These polar metabolites will be efficiently excreted primarily in the urine either unchanged or as the glucuronic acid conjugates. The physicochemical and toxicological properties of these substances are consistent with their known reactivity and common metabolic fate.

Esters belonging to this category can be hydrolysed to their corresponding terpenoid alcohol and organic acid. Hydrolysis can also be catalysed by a class of esters known as carboxylesterases or B-type esterases that predominated in hepatocytes.

Esters of tertiary terpenoid alcohols are readily hydrolyzed in animals, including fish. Once hydrolysed, the resulting alcohols undergo excretion unchanged or as the glucuronic acid conjugate. To a minor extent, CYP-450 mediated oxidation at the omega or omega-1 position yields polar oxidized metabolites capable of excretion primarily in the urine. Terpenoid alcohols formed in the gastrointestinal tract are readily absorbed.

During hydrolysis under acidic condition cyclisation may occur.

In humans and animals, terpenoid tertiary alcohols primarily conjugate with glucuronic acid and are excreted in the urine and feces. Terpenoid alcohols with unsaturation may also undergo allylic oxidation to form polar diol metabolites that may be excreted either free or conjugated. If the diol contains a primary alcohol function, it may undergo further oxidation to the corresponding carboxylic acid. In a minor pathway, the endocyclic alkene of alpha-terpineol is epoxidised and then hydrolyzed to yield a triol metabolite 1,2,8-trihydroxy-p-menthane which also has been reported in humans following inadvertent oral ingestion of a pine oil disinfectant containing alpha-terpineol.

Bicyclic tertiary alcohols are conjugated with glucuronic acid and excreted primarily in the urine. In rabbits the structurally related bicyclic tertiary alcohols thujyl alcohol (4-methyl-1-(1-methylethyl)bicyclo[3.1.0]hexan-3-ol) and beta-santenol (2,3,7-trimethylbicyclo[2.2.1]heptan-2-ol) are conjugated with glucuronic acid. In a metabolism study using the terpenoid tertiary alcohol trans-sobrerol, in humans, dogs, and rats, ten metabolites were isolated in urine, eight of which were characterised in humans. Two principle modes of metabolism were observed, allylic oxidation of the ring positions and alkyl substituents, and conjugation of the tertiary alcohol fractions with glucuronic acid. These metabolic patterns are common modes of converting tertiary and secondary terpenoid alcohols to polar metabolites, which are easily excreted in the urine and faeces. Menthol forms similar conjugation products in rats

Current opinion holds that there are no safety concerns regarding the unsaturated branched chain non-cyclic alcohols, as fragrance ingredients, under the present declared levels of use and exposure; use of these materials at higher maximum dermal levels or higher systemic exposure levels requires re-evaluation. This opinion was based on the following reasons:

- ▶ No evidence or only minimal evidence of skin irritation in humans was associated with current levels of use at 2–30% for individual compounds considered.
- ▶ Sensitizing hydroperoxides may be formed by contact with air. It should be ensured that oxidation reactions are prevented in the end product. The use of these materials under the declared levels of use and exposure will not induce sensitization.
- ▶ The compounds have a low order of acute toxicity.
- ▶ The branched chain, unsaturated alcohols tested were of low systemic toxicity after repeated application. Changes indicative of enzyme induction in the liver (liver enlargement) and a2u nephropathy in male rats have been observed at doses from >=200 mg/kg body weight/day.
- ▶ There was little or no indication of specific adverse effects in relation to fertility and developmental toxicity.
- ▶ Apart from the double bonds, especially those in conjugation with primary and secondary alcohol groups, the substances of this group evaluation do not possess further reactive structures that may give rise to genotoxic potential.
- ▶ Valid data on carcinogenicity of the compounds or for closely structurally related substances are not available, but in view of the negative mutagenicity tests so far obtained, they are not of primary concern

The dermal LD50 values in rats, rabbits and guinea pigs are greater than 2000 mg/kg body weight and even greater than 5000 mg/kg body weight in some cases, indicating that these compounds are of low acute toxicity or are practically non-toxic via the dermal route.

The oral LD50 values in rats and mice are generally greater than 2000 mg/kg body weight.

The most reported clinical sign was lethargy after oral or dermal application, diarrhea and gastrointestinal tract irritation after oral application, and irritation of the skin after dermal application.

The common characteristic structural elements, of this group, are one hydroxyl group per molecule, a C4 to C16 carbon chain with one or several methyl or ethyl side chains and up to four non-conjugated double bonds.

Due to their structural similarity, these alcohols also share common metabolic pathways. As metabolism is crucial for toxicokinetics and toxicity, these alcohols are expected to have the same target organs (liver and kidney) as was shown for selected compounds.

. As the data base for these alcohols is limited, additional data on pharmacokinetics, metabolism, genotoxicity and systemic toxicity of the structurally related non-cyclic unsaturated branched alcohols, citronellol, dehydrolinalool, 6,7-dihydrolinalool, farnesol, geraniol, linalool, nerol, and nerolidol (cis and isomer unspecified), from an evaluation of terpene alcohols.

In most cases, metabolism yields innocuous metabolites. Some materials, however, may generate alpha, beta-unsaturated compounds, e.g. aldehydes formed from primary allylic alcohols, or undergo oxidation to hydroperoxides. Such compounds can take part in a range of nucleophilic and electrophilic addition reactions with biological material.

The presence of a double bond may give rise to the metabolic formation of reactive and genotoxic epoxides although Ames tests did not indicate mutagenic activity, which would be expected if epoxides were formed in appreciable amounts.

The Research Institute for Fragrance Materials (RIFM) Expert Panel

Adverse reactions to fragrances in perfumes and in fragranced cosmetic products include allergic contact dermatitis, irritant contact dermatitis, photosensitivity, immediate contact reactions (contact urticaria), and pigmented contact dermatitis occur.

Intolerance to perfumes, by inhalation, may occur if the perfume contains a sensitising principal. Symptoms may vary from general illness, coughing, phlegm, wheezing, chest-tightness, headache, exertional dyspnoea, acute respiratory illness, hayfever, and other respiratory diseases (including asthma). Perfumes can induce hyper-reactivity of the respiratory tract without producing an IgE-mediated allergy or demonstrable respiratory obstruction. This was shown by placebo-controlled challenges of nine patients to "perfume mix". The same patients were also subject

to perfume provocation, with or without a carbon filter mask, to ascertain whether breathing through a filter with active carbon would prevent symptoms. The patients breathed through the mouth, during the provocations, as a nose clamp was used to prevent nasal inhalation. The patient's earlier symptoms were verified; breathing through the carbon filter had no protective effect. The symptoms were not transmitted via the olfactory nerve but they may have been induced by trigeminal reflex via the respiratory tract or by the eyes.

Cases of occupational asthma induced by perfume substances such as isoamyl acetate, limonene, cinnamaldehyde and benzaldehyde, tend to give persistent symptoms even though the exposure is below occupational exposure limits.

Inhalation intolerance has also been produced in animals. The emissions of five fragrance products, for one hour, produced various combinations of sensory irritation, pulmonary irritation, decreases in expiratory airflow velocity as well as alterations of the functional observational battery indicative of neurotoxicity in mice. Neurotoxicity was found to be more severe after mice were repeatedly exposed to the fragrance products, being four brands of cologne and one brand of toilet water.

Contact allergy to fragrances is relatively common, affecting 1 to 3% of the general population, based on limited testing with eight common fragrance allergens and about 16 % of patients patch tested for suspected allergic contact dermatitis.

Contact allergy to fragrance ingredients occurs when an individual has been exposed, on the skin, to a sufficient degree of fragrance contact allergens. Contact allergy is a life-long, specifically altered reactivity in the immune system. This means that once contact allergy is developed, cells in the immune system will be present which can recognise and react towards the allergen. As a consequence, symptoms, i.e. allergic contact dermatitis, may occur upon re-exposure to the fragrance allergen(s) in question. Allergic contact dermatitis is an inflammatory skin disease characterised by erythema, swelling and vesicles in the acute phase. If exposure continues it may develop into a chronic condition with scaling and painful fissures of the skin. Allergic contact dermatitis to fragrance ingredients is most often caused by cosmetic products and usually involves the face and/or hands. It may affect fitness for work and the quality of life of the individual. Fragrance contact allergy has long been recognised as a frequent and potentially disabling problem. Prevention is possible as it is an environmental disease and if the environment is modified (e.g. by reduced use concentrations of allergens), the disease frequency and severity will decrease. Fragrance contact allergy is mostly non-occupational and related to the personal use of cosmetic products. Allergic contact dermatitis can be severe and widespread, with a significant impairment of quality of life and potential consequences for fitness for work. Thus, prevention of contact sensitisation to fragrances, both in terms of primary prevention (avoiding sensitisation) and secondary prevention (avoiding relapses of allergic contact dermatitis in those already sensitised), is an important objective of public health risk management measure.

Hands: Contact sensitisation may be the primary cause of hand eczema, or may be a complication of irritant or atopic hand eczema. The number of positive patch tests has been reported to correlate with the duration of hand eczema, indicating that long-standing hand eczema may often be complicated by sensitisation. Fragrance allergy may be a relevant problem in patients with hand eczema; perfumes are present in consumer products to which their hands are exposed. A significant relationship between hand eczema and fragrance contact allergy has been found in some studies based on patients investigated for contact allergy. However, hand eczema is a multi-factorial disease and the clinical significance of fragrance contact allergy in (severe) chronic hand eczema may not be clear.

Axillae Bilateral axillary (underarm) dermatitis may be caused by perfume in deodorants and, if the reaction is severe, it may spread down the arms and to other areas of the body. In individuals who consulted a dermatologist, a history of such first-time symptoms was significantly related to the later diagnosis of perfume allergy.

Face Facial eczema is an important manifestation of fragrance allergy from the use of cosmetic products (16). In men, after-shave products can cause an eczematous eruption of the beard area and the adjacent part of the neck and men using wet shaving as opposed to dry have been shown to have an increased risk of being fragrance allergic.

Irritant reactions (including contact urticaria): Irritant effects of some individual fragrance ingredients, e.g. citral are known. Irritant contact dermatitis from perfumes is believed to be common, but there are no existing investigations to substantiate this. Many more people complain about intolerance or rashes to perfumes/perfumed products than are shown to be allergic by testing. This may be due to irritant effects or inadequate diagnostic procedures. Fragrances may cause a dose-related contact urticaria of the non-immunological type (irritant contact urticaria). Cinnamal, cinnamic alcohol, and Myroxylon pereira are well recognised causes of contact urticaria, but others, including menthol, vanillin and benzaldehyde have also been reported. The reactions to Myroxylon pereira may be due to cinnamates. A relationship to delayed contact hypersensitivity was suggested, but no significant difference was found between a fragrance-allergic group and a control group in the frequency of immediate reactions to fragrance ingredients in keeping with a nonimmunological basis for the reactions seen.

Pigmentary anomalies: The term "pigmented cosmetic dermatitis" was introduced in 1973 for what had previously been known as melanosis faciei feminae when the mechanism (type IV allergy) and causative allergens were clarified. It refers to increased pigmentation, usually on the face/neck, often following sub-clinical contact dermatitis. Many cosmetic ingredients were patch tested at non-irritant concentrations and statistical evaluation showed that a number of fragrance ingredients were associated: jasmine absolute, ylang-ylang oil, cananga oil, benzyl salicylate, hydroxycitronellal, sandalwood oil, geraniol, geranium oil.

Photo-reactions Musk ambrette produced a considerable number of allergic photocontact reactions (in which UV-light is required) in the 1970s and was later banned from use in the EU. Nowadays, photoallergic contact dermatitis is uncommon. Furocoumarins (psoralens) in some plant-derived fragrance ingredients caused phototoxic reactions with erythema followed by hyperpigmentation resulting in Berloque dermatitis. There are now limits for the amount of furocoumarins in fragrance products. Phototoxic reactions still occur but are rare.

General/respiratory: Fragrances are volatile and therefore, in addition to skin exposure, a perfume also exposes the eyes and naso-respiratory tract. It is estimated that 2-4% of the adult population is affected by respiratory or eye symptoms by such an exposure. It is known that exposure to fragrances may exacerbate pre-existing asthma. Asthma-like symptoms can be provoked by sensory mechanisms. In an epidemiological investigation, a significant association was found between respiratory complaints related to fragrances and contact allergy to fragrance ingredients, in addition to hand eczema, which were independent risk factors in a multivariate analysis.

Fragrance allergens act as haptens, i.e. low molecular weight chemicals that are immunogenic only when attached to a carrier protein. However, not all sensitising fragrance chemicals are directly reactive, but require previous activation. A **prehapten** is a chemical that itself is non- or low-sensitising, but that is transformed into a hapten outside the skin by simple chemical transformation (air oxidation, photoactivation) and without the requirement of specific enzymatic systems.

In the case of prehapten, it is possible to prevent activation outside the body to a certain extent by different measures, e.g. prevention of air exposure during handling and storage of the ingredients and the final product, and by the addition of suitable antioxidants. When antioxidants are used, care should be taken that they will not be activated themselves and thereby form new sensitisers.

Prehapten

Most terpenes with oxidisable allylic positions can be expected to autoxidise on air exposure due to their inherent properties. Depending on the stability of the oxidation products that are formed, a difference in the sensitisation potency of the oxidised terpenes can be seen. Autoxidation is a free radical chain reaction in which hydrogen atom abstraction in combination with addition of oxygen forms peroxy radicals. The reaction shows selectivity for positions where stable radicals can be formed. So far, all fragrance substances that have been investigated with regard to the influence of autoxidation on the allergenic potential, including identification of formed oxidation products, have oxidisable allylic positions that are able to form hydroperoxides and/or hydrogen peroxide as primary oxidation products upon air exposure. Once the hydroperoxides have been formed outside the skin they form specific antigens and act as skin sensitisers. Secondary oxidation products such as aldehydes and epoxides can also be allergenic, thus further increasing the sensitisation potency of the autoxidation mixture. The process of photoactivation may also play a role, but further research is required to establish whether this activation route is currently underestimated in importance due to insufficient knowledge of the true haptens in this context.

It should be noted that activation of substances via air oxidation results in various haptens that might be the same or cross-reacting with other haptens (allergens). The main allergens after air oxidation of linalool and linalyl acetate are the hydroperoxides. If linalyl acetate is chemically hydrolysed outside the skin it can thereafter be oxidised to the same haptens as seen for linalool. A corresponding example is citronellol and citronellyl acetate. In clinical studies, concomitant reactions to oxidised linalool and oxidised linalyl acetate have been observed. Whether these reactions depend on cross-reactivity or are due to exposure to both fragrance substances cannot be elucidated as both have an allergenic effect themselves. Linalool and linalyl acetate are the main components of lavender oil. They autoxidise on air exposure also when present in the essential oil, and form the same oxidation products found in previous studies of the pure synthetic terpenes. Experimental sensitisation studies showed that air exposure of lavender oil increased the sensitisation potency. Patch test results in dermatitis patients showed a connection between positive reactions to oxidised linalool, linalyl acetate and lavender oil.

Prohapten

Compounds that are bioactivated in the skin and thereby form haptens are referred to as prohapten.

In the case of prohaptens, the possibility to become activated is inherent to the molecule and activation cannot be avoided by extrinsic measures. Activation processes increase the risk for cross-reactivity between fragrance substances. Crossreactivity has been shown for certain alcohols and their corresponding aldehydes, i.e. between geraniol and geranial (citral) and between cinnamyl alcohol and cinnamal.

The human skin expresses enzyme systems that are able to metabolise xenobiotics, modifying their chemical structure to increase hydrophilicity and allow elimination from the body. Xenobiotic metabolism can be divided into two phases: phase I and phase II. Phase I transformations are known as activation or functionalisation reactions, which normally introduce or unmask hydrophilic functional groups. If the metabolites are sufficiently polar at this point they will be eliminated. However, many phase I products have to undergo subsequent phase II transformations, i.e. conjugation to make them sufficiently water soluble to be eliminated. Although the purpose of xenobiotic metabolism is detoxification, it can also convert relatively harmless compounds into reactive species. Cutaneous enzymes that catalyse phase I transformations include the cytochrome P450 mixed-function oxidase system, alcohol and aldehyde dehydrogenases, monoamine oxidases, flavin-containing monooxygenases and hydrolytic enzymes. Acyltransferases, glutathione S-transferases, UDP-glucuronosyltransferases and sulfotransferases are examples of phase II enzymes that have been shown to be present in human skin. These enzymes are known to catalyse both activating and deactivating biotransformations, but the influence of the reactions on the allergenic activity of skin sensitisers has not been studied in detail. Skin sensitising prohaptens can be recognised and grouped into chemical classes based on knowledge of xenobiotic bioactivation reactions, clinical observations and/or in vivo and in vitro studies of sensitisation potential and chemical reactivity.

QSAR prediction: The relationships between molecular structure and reactivity that form the basis for structural alerts are based on well established principles of mechanistic organic chemistry. Examples of structural alerts are aliphatic aldehydes (alerting to the possibility of sensitisation via a Schiff base reaction with protein amino groups), and alpha,beta-unsaturated carbonyl groups, C=C-CO- (alerting to the possibility of sensitisation via Michael addition of protein thiol groups). Prediction of the sensitisation potential of compounds that can act via abiotic or metabolic activation (pre- or prohaptens) is more complex compared to that of compounds that act as direct haptens without any activation. The autoxidation patterns can differ due to differences in the stability of the intermediates formed, e.g. it has been shown that autoxidation of the structural isomers linalool and geraniol results in different major haptens/allergens. Moreover, the complexity of the prediction increases further for those compounds that can act both as pre- and prohaptens. In such cases, the impact on the sensitisation potency depends on the degree of abiotic activation (e.g. autoxidation) in relation to the metabolic activation.

The material may produce severe skin irritation after prolonged or repeated exposure, and may produce a contact dermatitis (nonallergic). This form of dermatitis is often characterised by skin redness (erythema) thickening of the epidermis. Histologically there may be intercellular oedema of the spongy layer (spongiosis) and intracellular oedema of the epidermis. Prolonged contact is unlikely, given the severity of response, but repeated exposures may produce severe ulceration.

*** IUCLID**

Exposure to the material may result in a possible risk of irreversible effects. The material may produce mutagenic effects in man. This concern is raised, generally, on the basis of appropriate studies using mammalian somatic cells in vivo. Such findings are often supported by positive results from in vitro mutagenicity studies.

For titanium dioxide:

Humans can be exposed to titanium dioxide via inhalation, ingestion or dermal contact. In human lungs, the clearance kinetics of titanium dioxide is poorly characterized relative to that in experimental animals. (General particle characteristics and host factors that are considered to affect deposition and retention patterns of inhaled, poorly soluble particles such as titanium dioxide are summarized in the monograph on carbon black.) With regard to inhaled titanium dioxide, human data are mainly available from case reports that showed deposits of titanium dioxide in lung tissue as well as in lymph nodes. A single clinical study of oral ingestion of fine titanium dioxide showed particle size-dependent absorption by the gastrointestinal tract and large interindividual variations in blood levels of titanium dioxide. Studies on the application of sunscreens containing ultrafine titanium dioxide to healthy skin of human volunteers revealed that titanium dioxide particles only penetrate into the outermost layers of the stratum corneum, suggesting that healthy skin is an effective barrier to titanium dioxide. There are no studies on penetration of titanium dioxide in compromised skin.

Respiratory effects that have been observed among groups of titanium dioxide-exposed workers include decline in lung function, pleural disease with plaques and pleural thickening, and mild fibrotic changes. However, the workers in these studies were also exposed to asbestos and/or silica.

No data were available on genotoxic effects in titanium dioxide-exposed humans.

Many data on deposition, retention and clearance of titanium dioxide in experimental animals are available for the inhalation route. Titanium dioxide inhalation studies showed differences — both for normalized pulmonary burden (deposited mass per dry lung, mass per body weight) and clearance kinetics — among rodent species including rats of different size, age and strain. Clearance of titanium dioxide is also affected by pre-exposure to gaseous pollutants or co-exposure to cytotoxic aerosols. Differences in dose rate or clearance kinetics and the appearance of focal areas of high particle burden have been implicated in the higher toxic and inflammatory lung responses to intratracheally instilled vs inhaled titanium dioxide particles. Experimental studies with titanium dioxide have demonstrated that rodents experience dose-dependent impairment of alveolar macrophage-mediated clearance. Hamsters have the most efficient clearance of inhaled titanium dioxide. Ultrafine primary particles of titanium dioxide are more slowly cleared than their fine counterparts.

Titanium dioxide causes varying degrees of inflammation and associated pulmonary effects including lung epithelial cell injury, cholesterol granulomas and fibrosis. Rodents experience stronger pulmonary effects after exposure to ultrafine titanium dioxide particles compared with fine particles on a mass basis. These differences are related to lung burden in terms of particle surface area, and are considered to result from impaired phagocytosis and sequestration of ultrafine particles into the interstitium.

Fine titanium dioxide particles show minimal cytotoxicity to and inflammatory/pro-fibrotic mediator release from primary human alveolar macrophages in vitro compared with other particles. Ultrafine titanium dioxide particles inhibit phagocytosis of alveolar macrophages in vitro at mass dose concentrations at which this effect does not occur with fine titanium dioxide. In-vitro studies with fine and ultrafine titanium dioxide and purified DNA show induction of DNA damage that is suggestive of the generation of reactive oxygen species by both particle types. This effect is stronger for ultrafine than for fine titanium oxide, and is markedly enhanced by exposure to simulated sunlight/ultraviolet light.

Animal carcinogenicity data

Pigmentary and ultrafine titanium dioxide were tested for carcinogenicity by oral administration in mice and rats, by inhalation in rats and female mice, by intratracheal administration in hamsters and female rats and mice, by subcutaneous injection in rats and by intraperitoneal administration in male mice and female rats.

In one inhalation study, the incidence of benign and malignant lung tumours was increased in female rats. In another inhalation study, the incidences of lung adenomas were increased in the high-dose groups of male and female rats. Cystic keratinizing lesions that were diagnosed as squamous-cell carcinomas but re-evaluated as non-neoplastic pulmonary keratinizing cysts were also observed in the high-dose groups of female rats. Two inhalation studies in rats and one in female mice were negative.

Intratracheally instilled female rats showed an increased incidence of both benign and malignant lung tumours following treatment with two types of titanium dioxide. Tumour incidence was not increased in intratracheally instilled hamsters and female mice.

In-vivo studies have shown enhanced micronucleus formation in bone marrow and peripheral blood lymphocytes of intraperitoneally instilled mice. Increased Hprt mutations were seen in lung epithelial cells isolated from titanium dioxide-instilled rats. In another study, no enhanced oxidative DNA damage was observed in lung tissues of rats that were intratracheally instilled with titanium dioxide. The results of most in-vitro genotoxicity studies with titanium dioxide were negative.

No significant acute toxicological data identified in literature search.

WARNING: This substance has been classified by the IARC as Group 2B: Possibly Carcinogenic to Humans.

TITANIUM DIOXIDE

SILICA AMORPHOUS

Reports indicate high/prolonged exposures to amorphous silicas induced lung fibrosis in experimental animals; in some experiments these effects were reversible. [PATTYS]

For silica amorphous:

Derived No Adverse Effects Level (NOAEL) in the range of 1000 mg/kg/d.

In humans, synthetic amorphous silica (SAS) is essentially non-toxic by mouth, skin or eyes, and by inhalation. Epidemiology studies show little evidence of adverse health effects due to SAS. Repeated exposure (without personal protection) may cause mechanical irritation of the eye and

	<p>drying/cracking of the skin.</p> <p>When experimental animals inhale synthetic amorphous silica (SAS) dust, it dissolves in the lung fluid and is rapidly eliminated. If swallowed, the vast majority of SAS is excreted in the faeces and there is little accumulation in the body. Following absorption across the gut, SAS is eliminated via urine without modification in animals and humans. SAS is not expected to be broken down (metabolised) in mammals.</p> <p>After ingestion, there is limited accumulation of SAS in body tissues and rapid elimination occurs. Intestinal absorption has not been calculated, but appears to be insignificant in animals and humans. SASs injected subcutaneously are subjected to rapid dissolution and removal. There is no indication of metabolism of SAS in animals or humans based on chemical structure and available data. In contrast to crystalline silica, SAS is soluble in physiological media and the soluble chemical species that are formed are eliminated via the urinary tract without modification.</p> <p>Both the mammalian and environmental toxicology of SASs are significantly influenced by the physical and chemical properties, particularly those of solubility and particle size. SAS has no acute intrinsic toxicity by inhalation. Adverse effects, including suffocation, that have been reported were caused by the presence of high numbers of respirable particles generated to meet the required test atmosphere. These results are not representative of exposure to commercial SASs and should not be used for human risk assessment. Though repeated exposure of the skin may cause dryness and cracking, SAS is not a skin or eye irritant, and it is not a sensitiser.</p> <p>Repeated-dose and chronic toxicity studies confirm the absence of toxicity when SAS is swallowed or upon skin contact.</p> <p>Long-term inhalation of SAS caused some adverse effects in animals (increases in lung inflammation, cell injury and lung collagen content), all of which subsided after exposure.</p> <p>Numerous repeated-dose, subchronic and chronic inhalation toxicity studies have been conducted with SAS in a number of species, at airborne concentrations ranging from 0.5 mg/m³ to 150 mg/m³. Lowest-observed adverse effect levels (LOAELs) were typically in the range of 1 to 50 mg/m³. When available, the no-observed adverse effect levels (NOAELs) were between 0.5 and 10 mg/m³. The difference in values may be explained by different particle size, and therefore the number of particles administered per unit dose. In general, as particle size decreases so does the NOAEL/LOAEL.</p> <p>Neither inhalation nor oral administration caused neoplasms (tumours). SAS is not mutagenic in vitro. No genotoxicity was detected in in vivo assays. SAS does not impair development of the foetus. Fertility was not specifically studied, but the reproductive organs in long-term studies were not affected.</p> <p>For Synthetic Amorphous Silica (SAS) Repeated dose toxicity Oral (rat), 2 weeks to 6 months, no significant treatment-related adverse effects at doses of up to 8% silica in the diet. Inhalation (rat), 13 weeks, Lowest Observed Effect Level (LOEL) = 1.3 mg/m³ based on mild reversible effects in the lungs. Inhalation (rat), 90 days, LOEL = 1 mg/m³ based on reversible effects in the lungs and effects in the nasal cavity. For silane treated synthetic amorphous silica: Repeated dose toxicity: oral (rat), 28-d, diet, no significant treatment-related adverse effects at the doses tested. There is no evidence of cancer or other long-term respiratory health effects (for example, silicosis) in workers employed in the manufacture of SAS. Respiratory symptoms in SAS workers have been shown to correlate with smoking but not with SAS exposure, while serial pulmonary function values and chest radiographs are not adversely affected by long-term exposure to SAS. The substance is classified by IARC as Group 3: NOT classifiable as to its carcinogenicity to humans. Evidence of carcinogenicity may be inadequate or limited in animal testing.</p>		
1-OCTANOL & CUMYL HYDROPEROXIDE & TITANIUM DIOXIDE	<p>Asthma-like symptoms may continue for months or even years after exposure to the material ends. This may be due to a non-allergic condition known as reactive airways dysfunction syndrome (RADS) which can occur after exposure to high levels of highly irritating compound. Main criteria for diagnosing RADS include the absence of previous airways disease in a non-atopic individual, with sudden onset of persistent asthma-like symptoms within minutes to hours of a documented exposure to the irritant. Other criteria for diagnosis of RADS include a reversible airflow pattern on lung function tests, moderate to severe bronchial hyperreactivity on methacholine challenge testing, and the lack of minimal lymphocytic inflammation, without eosinophilia. RADS (or asthma) following an irritating inhalation is an infrequent disorder with rates related to the concentration of and duration of exposure to the irritating substance. On the other hand, industrial bronchitis is a disorder that occurs as a result of exposure due to high concentrations of irritating substance (often particles) and is completely reversible after exposure ceases. The disorder is characterized by difficulty breathing, cough and mucus production.</p> <p>The material may cause skin irritation after prolonged or repeated exposure and may produce a contact dermatitis (nonallergic). This form of dermatitis is often characterised by skin redness (erythema) and swelling epidermis. Histologically there may be intercellular oedema of the spongy layer (spongiosis) and intracellular oedema of the epidermis.</p>		
1-OCTANOL & LINALOOL	<p>For alkyl alcohols C6-13: This group of products are very similar in terms of physicochemical and toxicological properties. Interpolation of data can be used to assess the alkyl alcohols for which data is not available.</p> <p>Acute toxicity: All of these alcohols have a low order of toxicity in rats via the oral route. The LD50 for C6-branched and linear alcohols were >3700 mg/kg; LD50s for the C6-8, C7-9, C8-10, C9-11 and C11-14 branched alkyl alcohols were all >2000 mg/kg.</p> <p>These alcohols have a low order of toxicity via the dermal route. Dermal LD50s were greater than 2600 mg/kg.</p> <p>Subchronic toxicity: Repeat dose studies indicate these alcohols have a low order of subchronic toxicity by both the oral and dermal route. Further they demonstrate that these alcohols display a consistent degree of subchronic toxicity by these routes</p> <p>Developmental toxicity: Studies demonstrate that the alcohols are not selective developmental toxicants by either the oral or inhalation route of exposure. Inhalation of alkyl alcohols C6-13 is a primary concern during industrial use, particularly for lower molecular weight alcohols. Collectively the weight of evidence demonstrates that these alcohols have a low order of maternal toxicity and do not induce signs of developmental toxicity until maternal toxicity is observed. The NOAELs for inhalation reflect the maximum achievable vapour concentration.</p> <p>Reproductive toxicity: Developmental toxicity studies for several of these alcohols, conducted by the oral route, produce consistent results and demonstrate that these substances do not affect reproductive parameters. Although a slight increase in resorptions was observed in several studies, this occurred only in the highest dose group and in the presence of overt maternal toxicity.</p> <p>Genotoxicity: The weight of evidence from existing data supports the conclusion that these materials are not genotoxic.</p> <p>Further data to support this assessment comes from a series of alkyl acetates C6-13. Alkyl acetates are produced from alkyl alcohols and undergo metabolism by esterases to produce acetic acid and the corresponding alkyl alcohol. There is no evidence for genotoxicity with these compounds in a variety of strains of <i>S. typhimurium</i> in the presence or absence of metabolic activation. C6, C6-8, C7-9 and C11-14 alkyl acetates produced negative results in the Ames test.</p> <p>Based on data for structurally similar substances these alcohols are not expected to be clastogenic. Alkyl acetates can also be used to predict clastogenic potential of alkyl alcohols. Although there is evidence of cytotoxicity at extremely high doses, no clastogenic activity was seen in a homologous family of alkyl acetates.</p> <p>Metabolism: Alkyl alcohols are broken down, in the body, by mitochondrial beta-oxidation or by cytochrome P450 omega and omega-minus oxidation. The alcohol undergoes various oxidative steps to yield other alcohols, ketones, aldehydes, carboxylic acids and carbon dioxide. Data for monohydric, aliphatic alcohols show a systematic variation according to molecular weight in a manner similar to other homologous series. The body handles aliphatic hydrocarbons in a similar manner via oxidative conversion to alcohols, ketones, and eventual elimination as carbon dioxide and carboxylic acids. The undegraded alcohols can be conjugated either directly or as a metabolite with glucuronic acid, sulfuric acid or glycine and are rapidly excreted. Intermediate aldehydes may be reactive and bind with DNA and/or proteins.</p>		
CUMYL HYDROPEROXIDE & TITANIUM DIOXIDE	<p>The material may produce moderate eye irritation leading to inflammation. Repeated or prolonged exposure to irritants may produce conjunctivitis.</p>		
Acute Toxicity	✗	Carcinogenicity	✓
Skin Irritation/Corrosion	✓	Reproductivity	✗
Serious Eye Damage/Irritation	✓	STOT - Single Exposure	✓

Loctite 572 #127-1375 (AUS)

Respiratory or Skin sensitisation	✗	STOT - Repeated Exposure	✗
Mutagenicity	✗	Aspiration Hazard	✗

Legend: ✗ – Data either not available or does not fill the criteria for classification
 ✓ – Data available to make classification

SECTION 12 Ecological information

Toxicity

Ingredient	Endpoint	Test Duration (hr)	Species	Value	Source
Loctite 572 #127-1375 (AUS)	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available
1-octanol	EC50	72h	Algae or other aquatic plants	0.02mg/l	2
	EC50	48h	Crustacea	>0.01mg/l	2
	EC50(ECx)	Not Available	Crustacea	<0.001mg/L	1
	LC50	96h	Fish	>0.01mg/l	2
	EC50	96h	Algae or other aquatic plants	2.7mg/l	2
cumyl hydroperoxide	NOEC(ECx)	96h	Fish	<0.64mg/l	4
	EC50	48h	Crustacea	18.84mg/l	2
	LC50	96h	Fish	3.9mg/l	2
linalool	NOEC(ECx)	96h	Fish	<3.5mg/l	1
	EC50	48h	Crustacea	20mg/l	1
	LC50	96h	Fish	<19.9mg/l	1
	EC50	96h	Algae or other aquatic plants	88.3mg/l	1
titanium dioxide	BCF	1008h	Fish	<1.1-9.6	7
	EC50	72h	Algae or other aquatic plants	3.75-7.58mg/l	4
	EC50	48h	Crustacea	1.9mg/l	2
	NOEC(ECx)	504h	Crustacea	0.02mg/l	4
	LC50	96h	Fish	1.85-3.06mg/l	4
	EC50	96h	Algae or other aquatic plants	179.05mg/l	2
silica amorphous	EC0(ECx)	24h	Crustacea	>=10000mg/l	1
	EC50	72h	Algae or other aquatic plants	14.1mg/l	2
	EC50	48h	Crustacea	>86mg/l	2
	LC50	96h	Fish	1033.016mg/l	2
	EC50	96h	Algae or other aquatic plants	217.576mg/l	2

Legend: Extracted from 1. IUCLID Toxicity Data 2. Europe ECHA Registered Substances - Ecotoxicological Information - Aquatic Toxicity 4. US EPA, Ecotox database - Aquatic Toxicity Data 5. ECETOC Aquatic Hazard Assessment Data 6. NITE (Japan) - Bioconcentration Data 7. METI (Japan) - Bioconcentration Data 8. Vendor Data

Toxic to aquatic organisms.
DO NOT discharge into sewer or waterways.

Persistence and degradability

Ingredient	Persistence: Water/Soil	Persistence: Air
1-octanol	LOW	LOW
cumyl hydroperoxide	LOW (Half-life = 56 days)	LOW (Half-life = 5.42 days)
linalool	HIGH	HIGH
titanium dioxide	HIGH	HIGH
silica amorphous	LOW	LOW

Bioaccumulative potential

Ingredient	Bioaccumulation
1-octanol	LOW (LogKOW = 3)
cumyl hydroperoxide	LOW (BCF = 35.5)

Ingredient	Bioaccumulation
linalool	LOW (LogKOW = 2.97)
titanium dioxide	LOW (BCF = 10)
silica amorphous	LOW (LogKOW = 0.5294)

Mobility in soil

Ingredient	Mobility
1-octanol	LOW (KOC = 28.27)
cumyl hydroperoxide	LOW (KOC = 2346)
linalool	LOW (KOC = 56.32)
titanium dioxide	LOW (KOC = 23.74)
silica amorphous	LOW (KOC = 23.74)

SECTION 13 Disposal considerations**Waste treatment methods**

Product / Packaging disposal	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Recycle wherever possible or consult manufacturer for recycling options. ▶ Consult State Land Waste Authority for disposal. ▶ Bury or incinerate residue at an approved site. ▶ Recycle containers if possible, or dispose of in an authorised landfill.
-------------------------------------	---

SECTION 14 Transport information**Labels Required**

Marine Pollutant	NO
HAZCHEM	Not Applicable

Land transport (ADG): NOT REGULATED FOR TRANSPORT OF DANGEROUS GOODS

Air transport (ICAO-IATA / DGR): NOT REGULATED FOR TRANSPORT OF DANGEROUS GOODS

Sea transport (IMDG-Code / GGVSee): NOT REGULATED FOR TRANSPORT OF DANGEROUS GOODS

Transport in bulk according to Annex II of MARPOL and the IBC code

Not Applicable

Transport in bulk in accordance with MARPOL Annex V and the IMSBC Code

Product name	Group
1-octanol	Not Available
cumyl hydroperoxide	Not Available
linalool	Not Available
titanium dioxide	Not Available
silica amorphous	Not Available

Transport in bulk in accordance with the ICG Code

Product name	Ship Type
1-octanol	Not Available
cumyl hydroperoxide	Not Available
linalool	Not Available
titanium dioxide	Not Available
silica amorphous	Not Available

SECTION 15 Regulatory information**Safety, health and environmental regulations / legislation specific for the substance or mixture****1-octanol is found on the following regulatory lists**

Australia Hazardous Chemical Information System (HCIS) - Hazardous Chemicals

Australian Inventory of Industrial Chemicals (AIIC)

cumyl hydroperoxide is found on the following regulatory lists

Australia Hazardous Chemical Information System (HCIS) - Hazardous Chemicals

Australian Inventory of Industrial Chemicals (AIIC)

linalool is found on the following regulatory lists

Australia Hazardous Chemical Information System (HCIS) - Hazardous Chemicals

Australian Inventory of Industrial Chemicals (AIIC)

titanium dioxide is found on the following regulatory lists

Australian Inventory of Industrial Chemicals (AIIC)
 Chemical Footprint Project - Chemicals of High Concern List
 International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) - Agents Classified by the IARC Monographs

International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) - Agents Classified by the IARC Monographs - Group 1: Carcinogenic to humans
 International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) - Agents Classified by the IARC Monographs - Group 2B: Possibly carcinogenic to humans
 International WHO List of Proposed Occupational Exposure Limit (OEL) Values for Manufactured Nanomaterials (MNMS)

silica amorphous is found on the following regulatory lists

Australia Hazardous Chemical Information System (HCIS) - Hazardous Chemicals
 Australia Model Work Health and Safety Regulations - Hazardous chemicals (other than lead) requiring health monitoring
 Australian Inventory of Industrial Chemicals (AIIC)
 Chemical Footprint Project - Chemicals of High Concern List

International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) - Agents Classified by the IARC Monographs
 International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) - Agents Classified by the IARC Monographs - Group 1: Carcinogenic to humans
 International WHO List of Proposed Occupational Exposure Limit (OEL) Values for Manufactured Nanomaterials (MNMS)

National Inventory Status

National Inventory	Status
Australia - AIIC / Australia Non-Industrial Use	Yes
Canada - DSL	Yes
Canada - NDSL	No (1-octanol; cumyl hydroperoxide; linalool)
China - IECSC	Yes
Europe - EINEC / ELINCS / NLP	Yes
Japan - ENCS	Yes
Korea - KECI	Yes
New Zealand - NZIoC	Yes
Philippines - PICCS	Yes
USA - TSCA	Yes
Taiwan - TCSI	Yes
Mexico - INSQ	Yes
Vietnam - NCI	Yes
Russia - FBEPH	Yes
Legend:	Yes = All CAS declared ingredients are on the inventory No = One or more of the CAS listed ingredients are not on the inventory. These ingredients may be exempt or will require registration.

SECTION 16 Other information

Revision Date	30/12/2020
Initial Date	11/07/2020

SDS Version Summary

Version	Date of Update	Sections Updated
4.1	03/09/2020	Classification change due to full database hazard calculation/update.
5.1	30/12/2020	Classification change due to full database hazard calculation/update.

Other information

Classification of the preparation and its individual components has drawn on official and authoritative sources as well as independent review by the Chemwatch Classification committee using available literature references.

The SDS is a Hazard Communication tool and should be used to assist in the Risk Assessment. Many factors determine whether the reported Hazards are Risks in the workplace or other settings. Risks may be determined by reference to Exposures Scenarios. Scale of use, frequency of use and current or available engineering controls must be considered.

Definitions and abbreviations

PC—TWA: Permissible Concentration-Time Weighted Average
 PC—STEL: Permissible Concentration-Short Term Exposure Limit
 IARC: International Agency for Research on Cancer
 ACGIH: American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists
 STEL: Short Term Exposure Limit
 TEEL: Temporary Emergency Exposure Limit.
 IDLH: Immediately Dangerous to Life or Health Concentrations
 ES: Exposure Standard
 OSF: Odour Safety Factor
 NOAEL :No Observed Adverse Effect Level
 LOAEL: Lowest Observed Adverse Effect Level
 TLV: Threshold Limit Value
 LOD: Limit Of Detection
 OTV: Odour Threshold Value
 BCF: BioConcentration Factors
 BEI: Biological Exposure Index
 AIIC: Australian Inventory of Industrial Chemicals
 DSL: Domestic Substances List
 NDSL: Non-Domestic Substances List
 IECSC: Inventory of Existing Chemical Substance in China
 EINECS: European Inventory of Existing Commercial chemical Substances

ELINCS: European List of Notified Chemical Substances

NLP: No-Longer Polymers

ENCS: Existing and New Chemical Substances Inventory

KECI: Korea Existing Chemicals Inventory

NZIoC: New Zealand Inventory of Chemicals

PICCS: Philippine Inventory of Chemicals and Chemical Substances

TSCA: Toxic Substances Control Act

TCSI: Taiwan Chemical Substance Inventory

INSQ: Inventario Nacional de Sustancias Químicas

NCI: National Chemical Inventory

FBEPH: Russian Register of Potentially Hazardous Chemical and Biological Substances

This document is copyright.

Apart from any fair dealing for the purposes of private study, research, review or criticism, as permitted under the Copyright Act, no part may be reproduced by any process without written permission from CHEMWATCH.

TEL (+61 3) 9572 4700.